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- $B$ factories legacy.
- SuperKEKB and Belle II status and timeline.
- Selection of tensions with the SM and prospects for Belle II.
Success of the $B$ factories (1999-2010)

- Spectacular accelerator and detector performance.
- Discovery of $CP$ violation in $B$ decays.
- Confirmation of the CKM picture of flavor physics.
- Discovery of several new particles.
- Probe of rare $B$ decays.
- Limits on New Physics scenarios.
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Complementarity to LHCb

Belle II

- Clean experimental environment.
- Holistic interpretation of events with missing energy ($\nu$).
- Decays with multiple photons.
- Inclusive decays ($B \to X_{s,d}\gamma$).
- Long-lived particles ($K_S$ and $K_L$).

LHCb

- Large cross section.
- Decays to all charged particle final states.
- Fast mixing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observables</th>
<th>Expected th. accuracy</th>
<th>Expected exp. uncertainty</th>
<th>Facility (2025)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UT angles &amp; sides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$ [°]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_2$ [°]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_3$ [°]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Belle II/LHCb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{ud}$ incl.</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{ub}$ excl.</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{cd}$ incl.</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{cb}$ excl.</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Belle II/LHCb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(B \to \phi K^0_S)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(B \to \eta' K^0_S)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{\eta'}(B_s \to \phi \phi)$ [rad]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>LHCb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{ft}(B_s \to K^0 S\pi^0)$ [rad]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>LHCb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A(B \to K^0 S\pi^0)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A(B \to K^+ \pi^-)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>LHCb/Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Semi-)leptonic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B \to \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>[10^{-6}]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B \to \mu \nu)$</td>
<td>[10^{-6}]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R(B \to D \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R(B \to D^* \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Belle II/LHCb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiative &amp; EW Penguins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B \to X_{s,d} \gamma)$</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta A_{CP}(B \to X_{s,d} \gamma)$</td>
<td>[10^{-2}]</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(B \to K_S^0 \pi^0 \gamma)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\gamma_{ft}(B_s \to \phi \gamma)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>LHCb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(B \to \rho \gamma)$</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B_s \to \gamma \gamma)$</td>
<td>[10^{-6}]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B \to K^+ \pi^-)$</td>
<td>[10^{-6}]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B \to K \pi)$</td>
<td>[10^{-6}]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_2 \Delta R_{\text{MS}}(B \to K^+ \mu \nu)$</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>LHCb/Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B_s \to \tau \tau)$</td>
<td>[10^{-3}]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(B_s \to \mu \mu)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>LHCb/Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(D_s \to \mu \nu)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(D_s \to \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta A_{CP}(D_s^0 \to K^+ K^-)$</td>
<td>[10^{-4}]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{CP}(D_s^0 \to K_S^0 \pi^0)$</td>
<td>[10^{-2}]</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_2 / p(D_s^0 \to K_S^0 \pi \pi)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi(D_s^0 \to K_S^0 \pi \pi)$</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Belle II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau \to \mu \gamma$</td>
<td>[10^{-9}]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau \to e \gamma$</td>
<td>[10^{-9}]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau \to \mu \mu \nu$</td>
<td>[10^{-9}]</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SuperKEKB Accelerator

Upgrade for SuperKEKB and Belle II to achieve 40x peak $\mathcal{L}$ under 20x bkgd

- Reduction in the beam size by $1/20$ at the IP.
- Doubling the beam currents.

$$L = \frac{\gamma_{e^+}}{2e_r} \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma_y^*}{\sigma_x^*} \right) \left( \frac{l_{e^\pm}}{\beta_y^*} \right) \left( \frac{R_L}{R_{\xi_y}} \right)$$

- First turns achieved Feb. 2016
- Beam-background studies ongoing
The Intensity Frontier

Targets:

Instantaneous luminosity \( 8 \times 10^{35} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \)

Integrated luminosity \( 50\text{ab}^{-1} \) by 2024
Targeted improvements:

- Increase $K^0_S$ efficiency.
- Improve IP and secondary vertex resolution.
- Improve $K/\pi$ separation.
- Improve $\pi^0$ efficiency.
- Add particle ID and $\mu$ ID in endcaps.
Si pixel (2 layers) and strip (4 layers):

- 1st pixel layer at $r = 14\,mm$ to IP
  [Belle at $r = 20\,mm$]
  *Improves vertex resolution along $z$-axis*

- Larger SVD w/outer layer at $r = 135\,mm$.
  [Belle at $r = 88\,mm$]
  *Higher fraction of $K_S$’ with vertex hits improves vertex resolution*

Resolution much better than Belle and BaBar

Greater outer radius enhances $K_S$ acceptance

VXD practice installation
Central Drift Chamber:

- Larger outer radius of 1111mm (Belle 863mm) allows for improved $p$ resolution.
- Smaller cells with lower occupancy and capacity for higher hit rate.

Full readout of the CDC

Simulated track reconstruction efficiency

*Stable performance for up to 3x predicted beam BG*

(Preliminary)
Particle Identification

Two RICH systems covering full momentum range
- Barrel: Time of Propagation (TOP) counter (16 modules).
  ⇒ Measure $x$-$y$ position of Cherenkov $\gamma$’s and their arrival time.
- Forward Endcap: Aerogel Ring Imaging Cherenkov detector (ARICH)
  ⇒ Proximity focusing with silica aerogel ($4\sigma$ separation at $1 - 3.5$ GeV/c)

The background $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ (Belle/Belle II) $\approx 30x$ more abundant than $B \rightarrow \rho \gamma$.
Electromagnetic Calorimeter

Re-usage of Belle’s CsI(TI) crystal calorimeter, but with new electronics with 2MHz wave form sampling to compensate for the larger beam-related backgrounds and the long decay time of CsI(TI) signals.

⇒ Resolution much better at Belle II

Peak energy resolution in the ECL barrel as a function of true photon energy
Roadmap

Phase 1 (completed):
- Circulate beams (no collisions.)
- Tune optics, vacuum scrubbing, and beam background studies.

Phase 2:
- First collisions.
- Beam commissioning.
- Physics run with Belle II w/o VXD on $\Upsilon(4S)$ (& possibly $\Upsilon(6S)$).
- New triggers for exotic dark signatures.

Phase 3:
- Luminosity tuning.
- Physics run with full Belle II.

Many open questions and as-yet unobserved processes awaiting Belle II data...
Direct $CP$ Violation in $B \to K\pi$ Decays

Measurements of $DCPV$ in $B^+ \to K^+\pi^0$ found to be different than in $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$, contrary to naive expectation from the presence of electroweak penguin diagrams.

$$A_{K^+\pi^0} - A_{K^+\pi^-} = 0.112 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.007 \ (4\sigma)$$

The difference could be due to:

- Neglected diagrams contributing to $B$ decays (theoretical uncertainty is still large).

$$K^+\pi^- : T + P + P_{EW}^C$$

$$K^+\pi^0 : T + P + C + P_{EW} + P_{EW}^C + A$$

- Some unknown NP effect that violates Isospin.

⇒ In combination with other $K\pi$ measurements and with the larger Belle II dataset, strong interaction effects can be controlled and the validity of the SM can be tested in a model-independent way.
$B \rightarrow K\pi$: Test of sum rule

Test-of-sum (isospin) rule for NP nearly free of theoretical uncertainties, where the SM can be tested by measuring all observables: [Proposed by: PLB 627, 82(2005), PRD 58, 036005(1998)]

\[
I_{K\pi} = A_{K^+\pi^-} + A_{K^0\pi^+} + \frac{B(K^0\pi^+)}{B(K^+\pi^-)} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2A_{K^+\pi^0} \frac{B(K^+\pi^0)}{B(K^+\pi^-)} \frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2A_{K^0\pi^0} \frac{B(K^0\pi^0)}{B(K^+\pi^-)}
\]

\[
I_{K\pi} = -0.270 \pm 0.132 \pm 0.060 \ (1.9\sigma)
\]

Isospin sum rule can be presented as a band in the $A_{K^0\pi^0}$ vs. $A_{K^0\pi^+}$ plane.

\textit{Current data} \quad \textit{Belle II $\mathcal{L} = 50 ab^{-1}$}

\[\text{Belle II} \quad \mathcal{L} = 50\,\text{ab}^{-1}\]

$\rightarrow$ Most demanding measurement is $K^0\pi^0$ final state. With Belle II, the uncertainty on $A(B \rightarrow K^0\pi^0)$ from time-dep. analyses is expected to reach $\sim 4\%$

$\Rightarrow$ Sufficient for NP studies.
Leptonic $B$ decays

In the SM, annihilation process mediated by $W^\pm$

$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell)_{SM} = \frac{G_F^2 m_B m_\ell^2}{8\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_B^2} \right)^2 f_B^2 |V_{ub}|^2 \tau_B$$

$\mathcal{B}(l = \tau) > \mathcal{B}(l = \mu) > \mathcal{B}(l = e)$

$\mathcal{O}(10^{-4}) \quad \mathcal{O}(10^{-7}) \quad \mathcal{O}(10^{-11})$

$f_B$: $B$ meson decay constant. *Can be calculated from Lattice QCD.*

$V_{ub}$: CKM matrix element. *Can be measured from $b \to ul\nu$ decays.*

*Both can also be obtained from a CKM global fit.*
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*Both can also be obtained from a CKM global fit.*

In a type-II two-Higgs-doublet model

$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+\nu_\tau) = \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+\nu_\tau)_{\text{SM}} \times \left|1 - \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{m_{H^\pm}^2} m_B^2 \right|^2$$
\[ B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau \]

Belle II at 50 ab\(^{-1}\) is expected to achieve \(\approx 6\%\) precision.

+ \textit{New Full Event Interpretation algorithm for tag-side reconstruction}

Hadronic tag:
\[ \epsilon(B^0_{\text{tag}}) = 0.33\% \text{ Belle II} \quad \epsilon(B^+_{\text{tag}}) = 0.36\% \]
\[ \epsilon(B^0_{\text{tag}}) = 0.19\% \text{ Belle I} \quad \epsilon(B^+_{\text{tag}}) = 0.28\% \]

Current measurements approach
\[ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau)_{\text{CKM}} = (0.821^{+0.034}_{-0.028}) \times 10^{-4} \]
Semileptonic decay $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\nu$

- Larger $\mathcal{B}$ and less theoretical uncertainty than $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau$.
- New Physics could change the ratios $\mathcal{R}(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell\nu)}$.
- Effect could be different for $D$ and $D^*$.

Large mass of $\tau$ adds sensitivity to additional helicity amplitude.

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 |p| q^2}{96\pi^3 m_B^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_\tau^2}{q^2}\right)^2 \left[ |H_{++}|^2 + |H_{--}|^2 + |H_{00}|^2 \right] \left(1 + \frac{m_\tau^2}{2q^2}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{m_\tau^2}{q^2} |H_{t0}|^2$$

A charged Higgs (2HDM type II) of spin 0 couples to the $\tau$ and will only affect $H_{t0}$:

$$H_{t0}^{2\text{HDM}} = H_{t0}^{\text{SM}} \times \left(1 - \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{m_{H^\pm}^2} \frac{q^2}{1 \mp m_c^2/m_b^2}\right)$$

This could enhance or decrease the ratios $R(D^*)$ depending on $\frac{\tan^2 \beta}{m_{H^\pm}^2}$.
\( \bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu} \) with Belle II

- Very clean prediction from theory.
- World average 4\( \sigma \) away from SM.
- Belle II can achieve \( \approx 3\% \) precision.

Electroweak penguin decays $b \rightarrow s l^+l^-$

- Within the SM, decays proceed via one loop diagram:
  
  \[ \mathcal{R}_K = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\mu^+\mu^-)} = 1.00030^{+0.00010}_{-0.00007} \]

- LHCb reported a 2.6σ deviation for the dilepton invariant mass squared region $1 < q^2 < 6$ GeV$^4$/c$^2$:
  
  \[ \mathcal{R}_K = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \pm 0.036 \]

  *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113 151601 (2016)

- Electron mode challenging for LHCb.
- Electrons and muons have the same $\varepsilon$ at Belle II:
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{Both low and high } q^2 \text{ regions possible.} \]
Full angular analysis of $B \to K^{*}ll$

New lepton-flavour-dependent angular analysis by Belle

CKM 2016  (To appear in PRL)

- Largest deviation of $2.6\sigma$ from the SM for the muon channel for $4 < q^2 < 8$ GeV$^4/c^2$.
- Electron channel deviation of $1.1\sigma$.
- Belle II and LHCb will be comparable for this process.
- Belle II will be able to perform an isospin comparison of $K^{*+}$ and $K^{*0}$, or the ground states $K$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$q^2$ (GeV$^2$)</th>
<th>Belle</th>
<th>Belle II (50 ab$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10 - 4.00</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00 - 8.00</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.09 - 12.00</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.18 - 19.00</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Neutrino EWP decays $b \rightarrow s, d\nu\bar{\nu}$

⇒ The ultimate test of Belle II

• Theoretically clean due to a maximum of one electromagnetically interacting charged particle in the final state, as opposed to $K(\ast)l^+l^-$ decays.

• Several new physics models (SUSY, non-standard $Z$ coupling) could enhance these decays.

Projections from Belle hadronic tag result ⇒

CKM 2016 (Preliminary)

This work = Belle Semi-leptonic tag

arXiv:1702.03224 (Submitted to PRD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B$ decay channel</th>
<th>BaBar hadronic</th>
<th>Belle hadronic result</th>
<th>SM prediction</th>
<th>this work expected</th>
<th>this work observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K^+\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>$K^{0}\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>$K^{+}\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>$\pi^{+}\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>$\rho^{+}\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>$\phi\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projections from Belle hadronic tag result ⇒

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mode</th>
<th>$B_{SM}[10^{-6}]$</th>
<th>$N_{Sig-exp.}(50ab^{-1})$</th>
<th>Statistical error</th>
<th>Total Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B^+ \rightarrow K^+\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B^0 \rightarrow K^{0}\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B^+ \rightarrow K^{+}\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B^0 \rightarrow K^{0}\nu\bar{\nu}$</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B \rightarrow K^*\nu\bar{\nu}$ combined</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SuperKEKB accelerator is operational and beam background studies are under way.

The Belle II detector construction is nearing completion.

Physics with partial detector scheduled for late 2017.

Full detector to begin taking data in 2018.

Many open questions to address.

Broad program to search for NP with flavor observables.