Measurement of time integrated raw asymmetry in $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_s K^0_s$ decay at Belle II
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$D^0 \rightarrow K^0_s K^0_s$ is a Singly Cabibbo Suppressed decay, which involves the interference of $c \bar{u} \rightarrow s \bar{s}$ and $c \bar{u} \rightarrow d \bar{d}$ transitions. Due to such interference, the Charge Parity asymmetry may be enhanced to an observable level within the Standard Model. In this work, the signal yield and corresponding raw asymmetry ($A_{raw}$) for $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_s K^0_s$ is estimated using the Belle II Monte Carlo samples corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 1/ab.
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1. Introduction

\( D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0 \) is a Singly Cabibbo Suppressed (SCS) decay, which involves the interference of 
\( c\bar{u} \rightarrow s\bar{s} \) and \( c\bar{u} \rightarrow d\bar{d} \) transitions, mediated by the exchange of a \( W \) boson at tree level as shown in Figure 1. Due to such interference, the Charge Parity asymmetry (\( \mathcal{A}_{CP} \)) may be enhanced to an observable level within the Standard Model (SM) [1].

\[
\mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0) = (-1.9 \pm 1.0)\% ,
\]

The world-average determination of \( \mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0) \) is limited by the statistical precision [2]. The average is dominated by measurements from Belle [5] and LHCb [3]. Using \( e^+e^- \) collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 921 fb, Belle measured
\[
\mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0) = (-0.02 \pm 1.53 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.17)\%,
\]

where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic, and the third is due to the uncertainty in the CP asymmetry of the reference mode, \( D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^0 \). A more precise result is obtained by LHCb measurement using \( pp \)-collision data collected during Run 2 and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 6 fb:
\[
\mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0) = (-3.1 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2)\% ,
\]

where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third is due to the uncertainty in the CP asymmetry of the reference channel \( D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^- \).

In this work, the signal yield and corresponding raw CP asymmetry (\( A_{raw} \)) for \( D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0 \) is measured using Belle II Monte Carlo (MC) samples at integrated luminosity of 1 ab\(^{-1}\). The Belle II [6] is an experimental facility at SuperKEKB [7] located in Tsukuba, Japan. The final goal of this analysis is to measure the \( \mathcal{A}_{CP} \) in \( D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0 \) with the combined Belle and Belle II datasets where, \( D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^- \) is used as a reference mode.

2. Reconstruction of \( D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0 \)

Signal candidates are reconstructed using the centrally produced Belle II MC samples at integrated luminosity of 1 ab\(^{-1}\). The complete decay chain reconstructed for our analysis is \( D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0(\rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0)\pi^*_s \), where \( \pi^*_s \) denotes the low-momentum (soft) pions. Each \( K_s^0 \) is reconstructed by combining two oppositely charged pions. Pairs of \( K_s^0 \) candidates thus reconstructed are combined to form the decay \( D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0 \). Finally, the \( D^0 \) candidates are combined with soft pions originating from the interaction region \( |d_r| < 0.5 \) cm and \( |d_z| < 2 \) cm to form the decay \( D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^*_s \), where \( |d_r| \) and \( |d_z| \) are respectively the longitudinal and transverse impact parameters. To suppress events where the \( D^{*+} \) candidate comes from B meson decays, the momentum of the \( D^{*+} \) in the \( e^+e^- \) center-of-mass system is required to be greater than than 2.5 GeV/c\(^2\). The difference between the reconstructed \( D^{*+} \) and \( D^0 \) masses, \( \Delta m \), must not exceed 0.16 GeV/c\(^2\). Charge conjugation is implied throughout this document unless explicitly mentioned.
3. Main background

The major background for the decay $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0$ is $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$. The latter has the same final state particles and also originates from a real $D^0$, therefore it has the same $\Delta m$ and $D^0$ mass distribution as of the signal. This makes it difficult to separate the signal from the background using solely the traditionally used $\Delta m$ variable.

![Figure 2: Distributions of $\gamma$ for signal and background components. Non-peaking backgrounds denotes the backgrounds that do not peak in $\Delta m$.](image)

The flight distance of the $K_s^0$ with respect to the $D^0$ vertex is exploited to provide a better separation of the signal and peaking background components. We introduce a new variable $\gamma$, defined as the minimum of the flight-distance significance of the $K_s^0$ candidates. Its distribution for signal and background candidates is shown in Figure 2. No dedicated selection criteria is applied to suppress the $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ background, instead, $\gamma$ is used as fitting variable, together with $\Delta m$.

4. Results

Two variables, $\Delta m$ and $\gamma$ are used to discriminate between the signal and the background components for the $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0$ decay, and to measure its yield and $A_{raw}$ which is defined by

$$A_{raw} = \frac{N(D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0) - N(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0)}{N(D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0) + N(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0)}$$

where $N$ denotes the number of signal candidates. A simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit to ($\Delta m$, $\gamma$) of $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ candidates is performed for candidates populating the $m(K_s^0 K_s^0)$ signal window: [1.85, 1.88] GeV/c^2. The $\Delta m$ and $\gamma$ projections of the fit to 1/ab equivalent Belle II Monte Carlo is shown in Figure 3. The signal shape in both dimensions is modelled using a Johnson’s $S_U$ [8] probability distribution function (PDF). The $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ (Background) component is modelled in the $\Delta m$ dimension using the sum of a Gaussian and a Johnson’s $S_U$ [8] PDFs, both with the same mean. In the $\gamma$ dimension, it is modelled using a Johnson’s $S_U$ [8] function. Other background components in the $\gamma$ dimension is extracted from the $\Delta m$ side-bands and are modelled using the sum of two Johnson’s $S_U$ PDFs. In the $\Delta m$ dimension, it is modelled using the function:
((Δm-Δm₀) + α(Δm-Δm₀)^{3/2}), where Δm₀ is 0.13957039 GeV/c². All shape parameters of the fit are fixed to their values obtained from the separate fits to the components in simulation. The yields corresponding to the three components, the corresponding raw asymmetries and α is left free to float. Same shapes are assumed to correctly describe both the D⁰ and the ˉD⁰ samples.

The measured signal yield is 5853 ± 83 and the corresponding A_{raw} is (0.7 ± 1.4)%%. Our simulation result is ~10% better as compared to that expected from the same analysis performed on Belle simulated data.
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