#### **Hadronic B-Meson Decays at Belle II**

K. Trabelsi (IJCLab) karim.trabelsi @in2p3.fr



#### 

- We plan to ultimately collect many  $ab^{-1}$  of  $e^+e^-$  collisions at (or close to) the Y(4S) resonance, so that we have:
  - a (Super) B-factory (~ $1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ B}\overline{\text{B}}$  pairs per ab<sup>-1</sup>)



 exploit the clean e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> environment to probe the existence of exotic hadrons, dark photons/Higgs, light Dark Matter particles, ALPs, LLPs ...

# **Belle II run I (2019-2022)**

data taking from March 2019 to June 2022

→ despite difficult conditions since March 2020 (Covid, war in Ukraine, energy cost...)

**luminosity:**  $4.7 \times 10^{34}$ /cm<sup>2</sup>/s! > 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> per day!



# **Belle II run I (2019-2022)**



 $\Rightarrow$  362 fb<sup>-1</sup> at the Y(4S) resonance (rest off resonance, and scan)

⇒ Belle II results presented here with either 189 fb<sup>-1</sup> or 362 fb<sup>-1</sup>, sometimes adding Belle data sample

#### **Topics covered**

- $\circ \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{(*)} \mathbf{K}^{-} \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$
- $B \rightarrow DK$  and  $\gamma$
- $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \pi \pi$ ,  $\rho \rho$  and  $\alpha$
- $\circ \quad \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{K} \, \pi$

- $\Rightarrow$  362 fb<sup>-1</sup> at the Y(4S) resonance (rest off resonance, and scan)
- ⇒ Belle II results presented here with either 189 fb<sup>-1</sup> or 362 fb<sup>-1</sup>, sometimes adding Belle data sample



Belle II with 362 fb<sup>-1</sup>, previous measurement Belle with 29 fb<sup>-1</sup> [arXiv:2305.01321]

 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K K^{(*)}$  quite unexplored sector: few% of the total B BR, only 0.3% measured

- part of an on-going effort to improve simulation and hadronic B-tagging
- can study the structures observed

(famous efficiency < 1%)

First observation for 3 modes

– use the  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}D_s$  modes as control samples

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $\circ$  Resonances  $\rho(1450)$ <sup>+</sup> and  $\rho(1700)$ <sup>+</sup> in B→DKK decays ? (see for example arXiv:2201.06881)

 $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{(*)} \mathbf{K}^{-} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{0}}$ 

Belle II with 362  $\text{fb}^{-1}$ , previous measurement Belle with 29  $\text{fb}^{-1}$  [arXiv:2305.01321]

 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K K^{(*)}$  quite unexplored sector: few% of the total B BR, only 0.3% measured

– part of an on-going effort to improve simulation and B-tagging techniques

- can study the structures observed
- use the  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}D_s$  modes as control samples

![](_page_6_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### First observation for 3 modes

#### $\gamma$ measurements from $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$

- Theoretically pristine  $B \rightarrow DK$  approach
- Access  $\gamma$  via interference between  $B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^-$  and  $B^- \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 K^-$

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

 $D \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-}...$  $D \rightarrow K_{S}\pi^{0}, K_{S}\eta...$  $D \rightarrow KK\pi^{0}, \pi\pi\pi^{0}...$  $D \rightarrow K_{S}\pi\pi, K_{S}KK$  $D \rightarrow K_{S}\pi\pi\pi^{0}$  $D \rightarrow ...$ 

# **BPGGSZ study** $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{0}}\mathbf{h}^{+}\mathbf{h}^{-})\mathbf{h}^{-} \quad h = \pi, K$

• Analysis with 711 fb<sup>-1</sup> Belle data and 128 fb<sup>-1</sup> Belle II data

(Belle/Belle II collaboration) [arXiv:2110.12125, JHEP (2022) 63]

 $\circ~$  Unbinned 2D simultaneous fit of  $\Delta E$  versus C  $^{\prime}$ 

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### GLW study for $B \rightarrow D(KK)K$ and $D(K_S^0 \pi^0)K$

Using Belle  $(711 \text{ fb}^{-1})$  and Belle II  $(189 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ , (previous measurement with Belle only 250 fb<sup>-1</sup>) Fitting simultaneously the B $\rightarrow$ D $\pi$  and DK samples, D $\rightarrow$ K $\pi$  and...

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

with asymmetry ~ 0 for  $B \rightarrow D(K \pi)K$  modes

## GLW study for $B \rightarrow D(KK)K$ and $D(K_S^0 \pi^0)K$

Fitting simultaneously the  $B \rightarrow D\pi$  and DK samples,  $D \rightarrow K\pi$  and ...  $D \rightarrow KK$  and  $K_S^0 \pi^0$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\mathcal{R}_{CP+} = 1.164 \pm 0.081 \pm 0.036,$  $\mathcal{R}_{CP-} = 1.151 \pm 0.074 \pm 0.019,$  $\mathcal{A}_{CP+} = (+12.5 \pm 5.8 \pm 1.4)\%,$  $\mathcal{A}_{CP-} = (-16.7 \pm 5.7 \pm 0.6)\%.$ 

only to B-factories

# Direct evidence of opposite $A_{\rm CP}$ for even and odd states

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### <u>α determination</u>

 $\phi_2/\alpha$  is by now the less know UT angle with 4°-5° precision

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

from time dependent CP , we can measure  $\alpha_{eff}$  , but we want  $\alpha$  !

expanding in r:  $\mathbf{S}_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = \sin 2\alpha + 2r \cos \delta \sin(\beta + \alpha) \cos 2\alpha + O(r^{2})$ 

time dependent decay width:

 $\Gamma(\mathbf{B}^{0}(t)) \propto \Gamma_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} \left[1 + C_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} \cos\Delta m t - S_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} \sin\Delta m t\right]$ 

3 measurables vs. 4 unknowns: T, r,  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$ 

→ additional inputs required to determine the penguin pollution to fix r isospin analysis: combining with the information from other  $\pi\pi$  modes

#### $\alpha$ (inputs from $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ )

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\pi^0 \pi^0$ : most challenging charmless decay. Only photons in the final state, completely swamped by continuum from real  $\pi^0$  [arXiv:2303.08354]

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### $\alpha (inputs from B \rightarrow \rho \rho)$

Preliminary results reported last year for  $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^+$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$  with 189 fb<sup>-1</sup> [arXiv:2206.12362], [arXiv:2208.03554]

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\Rightarrow$  updates to full Run I sample ongoing ...

### Isospin sum-rule and $K_S^0 \pi^0$

Isospin symmetry can be exploited to construct sum rules: linear combinations of branching fractions and CP asymmetries, and with the set of  $B \rightarrow K \pi$  decays:

$$I_{K\pi} = \mathcal{A}_{K^{+}\pi^{-}} + \mathcal{A}_{K^{0}\pi^{+}} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})} \frac{\tau_{B^{0}}}{\tau_{B^{+}}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^{+}\pi^{0}} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})} \frac{\tau_{B^{0}}}{\tau_{B^{+}}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^{0}\pi^{0}} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})}$$

- Predicted to be zero with 1% in the SM (null test)
- Experimentally consistent with zero  $(I_{K\pi} = (-13 \pm 11)\%)$
- $\Rightarrow$  with 10% precision limited by the  $K_S^0 \pi^0$  observables

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Isospin sum-rule and $K_S^0 \pi^0$

Two analyses for  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$ , one decay-time integrated and the other decay-time dependent [arXiv:2305.07555], Time-dependent CP Violation Measurements at Belle II: S.Hazra combined to enhance sensitivity:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B} &= (10.50 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{-6} \\ A_{CP} &= -0.01 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05 \\ S_{CP} &= 0.75^{+0.20}_{-0.23} \pm 0.04 \end{aligned}$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

Putting all  $K\,\pi$  results together , the Belle II isospin sum-rule gives :

 $I_{K\pi} = (-3 \pm 13 \pm 5)\%$ 

Agrees with SM. Competitive with world average of  $(-13\pm11)\%$ 

 $B^0 \to K_S^0 \pi^0$ 

# **Belle II run I (2019-2022)**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

⇒ what about run II ?

#### Long-shutdown (LS1) activity and plans

#### Belle II stopped taking data in Summer 2022 for a long shutdown

- accelerator improvements: injection, non-linear collimators, monitoring...
- additional shielding and increased resilience against beam bckg
- replacement of beam-pipe
- installation of 2-layered pixel vertex detector
- replacement of photomultipliers of the central PID detector (TOP)
- completed transition to new DAQ boards (PCIe40)
- work on other detectors as CDC, KLM...
- improved data-quality monitoring and alarm system

VXD extraction in May

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

TOP MCP-PMT replacement work

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

PXD2 at KEK since March

![](_page_17_Picture_15.jpeg)

CDC FE reinstallation work

![](_page_17_Picture_17.jpeg)

# **Summary**

- Belle II has now on tape a sample equivalent to that of BaBar, half of Belle
- Allow to refine our tools, improve our analyses, understanding our detector
- Some first competitive results: a selection of hadronic B decays shown today
- Currently preparing the detector and the machine to ramp-up at full speed.
- Will resume data-taking next Winter, on our way to the  $10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  world

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **Belle II calendar**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

run 1 ( $\rightarrow$  June 2022): integrated luminosity ~0.43 ab<sup>-1</sup>, 4-5×10<sup>34</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup>/s PXD complete (2 layers) to be installed during LS1 (2022-2023) (+beampipe + TOP PMTs) run 2 ( $\rightarrow$  2027): integrated luminosity 5-10 ab<sup>-1</sup>, 2×10<sup>35</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup>/s 2027: collider upgrade (QCS+RF)  $\rightarrow$  installation upgraded detector run 3 ( $\rightarrow$  2035): 50 ab<sup>-1</sup>

SuperKEKB, the first new collider in particle physics since the LHC in 2008 (electron-positron (e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup>) rather than proton-proton (p-p))

#### Phase 1

Background , Optics commissioning Feb - June **2016** Brand new 3km positron ring

#### Phase 2: Pilot run

Superconducting Final Focus add positron damping ring First Collisions (0.5 fb<sup>-1</sup>) April 27-July 17, **2018** 

#### Phase 3: Physics run Since April, 2019

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)