- 1 PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JINST
- 2 New Frontiers in Lepton Flavor
- 3 15-17 MAY 2023
- 4 Pisa

- Belle II status and prospects for studies of neutral
- 6 currents



On behalf of Belle II Collaboration

- 9 V. Bertacchi
- 10 Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France
- 11 *E-mail:* bertacchi@cppm.in2p3.fr

ABSTRACT: The Belle II experiment at the SuperKEKB energy-asymmetric electron-positron 12 collider is a substantial upgrade of the B factory facility at the Japanese KEK laboratory. Belle II 13 collected a sample of 362 fb⁻¹ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance between 2019 and the 2022, with a maximum 14 peak luminosity of 4.7 $\times 10^{34}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹. Belle II is currently facing a long shutdown period, 15 required for several upgrades of the detector and the collider. Data taking will resume at the end 16 of 2023. We report the recent measurements which involve neutral current transitions in B meson 17 decays. In particular, we present the current status and future prospects for the branching fractions 18 measurements of the radiative decays $B \to K^* \gamma$ and the fully inclusive $B \to X_s \gamma$, the search 19 for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ decays, the measurement of the branching fractions of $B \to J/\psi (\to \ell \ell) K$ and 20 $B \to K^* \ell \ell$. Finally, we show the perspectives of the search for $B \to K^* \tau \tau$ and the searches of 21 lepton flavor violating channels $B \to K^{(*)}\ell\ell'$, with $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$. 22

- 23 KEYWORDS: to do
- 24 ARXIV EPRINT: to do

25 1 Introduction

The flavour chaning neutral current $b \rightarrow s$ transitions are suppressed in the Standard Model (SM) 26 and therefore sensitive to Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) amplitudes. The SM branching 27 fractions are $O(10^{-5} - 10^{-7})$, predicted with 10 - 30% uncertainties. Angular distributions and 28 ratios can be used to improve the precision and eventually have access to new physics properties. 29 Belle II [1] and SuperKEKB [2] produce an optimal environment to study the neutral currents. 30 Belle II has similar and good performance in electron and muon channels, in term of efficiency, fake 31 rate and particle identification capability. This is a key feature to perform lepton flavour universality 32 (LFU) tests and lepton flavour violation (LFV) searches in the $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell^{(\prime)}$ sector, where ℓ indicates 33 a charged lepton. On the other hand, the $b \to s\gamma$ and $b \to s\gamma\overline{\gamma}$ transitions represent a unique 34 opportunity for Belle II, because of the almost complete hermeticity of the detector, the possibility

³⁵ opportunity for Belle II, because of the almost complete hermeticity of the detector, the possibility ³⁶ to exploit the $\Upsilon(4S)$ initial state constraint and the relatively low combinatorial background of the ³⁷ SuperKEKB collisions.

One of the key tools of Belle II for the channels with missing energy in the final state is the B-38 tagging, a set of reconstruction techniques to identify the $\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B}$ events exploiting the initial 39 state knowledge to constraint the missing information in the signal side. It consists in reconstructing 40 the parter B meson, called B_{tag} , produced in association with the signal one, to infer the properties 41 of the signal. We refer to hadronic or semileptonic tagging according to the channels used for the 42 B_{tag} reconstruction. The B-tagging algorithm is called Full Event Interpretation (FEI) [3], a boosted 43 decision tree (BDT)-based tagging algorithm which exploits a hierarchical approach to reconstruct 44 $O(10^4)$ decay chains on the tag side. The efficiency for the hadronic (semileptonic) tag is 0.5% 45 (2%) with a purity of 30% (10%). 46

⁴⁷ **2** Fully inclusive $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

We present the measurement the $B \to X_s \gamma$ branching ratio as a function of photon energy in the 48 range 1.8 GeV $< E_{\gamma} < 2.7$ GeV, where $X_s \gamma$ is the inclusive final state involving a photon and a 49 strange hadron. The measurement is performed on a 189 fb^{-1} Belle II sample [4]. The decays 50 are reconstructed using the hadronic B-tagging, requiring a γ in the signal side with a threshold 51 energy of 1.4 GeV. The main challenge of the analysis is to suppress the background without 52 breaking the inclusivity of the measurement. The backgrounds are suppressed using a BDT and 53 the residual X_d background is estimated using simulated events. The signal is extracted by fitting 54 the tag side $M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^{*2} - p_B^{*2}}$ distribution (where E_{beam}^* is the beam energy and p_B^* is the *B* meson momentum in the center-of-mass frame), as a function of E_{γ} . The result is competitive with 55 56 previous measurements performed with hadronic *B*-tagging [5]. The result in term of the partial 57 branching fraction as a function of the photon energy is shown inf Fig. 1 (Left). 58

The prospects of this measurements with larger statistics depend on the chosen photon energy threshold [6]. With lower threshold the background will be higher, while with higher threshold the theoretical uncertainties will be higher. However, some improvements are expected both on background suppression side and by using additional tagging methods, which will allow to reach the percent level precision. Measurements of relative quantities, such as asymmetries, will allow for further reduction of systematic effect

Measurement of $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ branching fractions 3 65

We present the measurement of the branching fraction of $B \to K^* \gamma$, where K^* indicates both 66 $K^{*+}(892)$ and $K^{*0}(892)$. The measurement is performed on a 63 fb⁻¹ Belle II sample [7]. The decays 67 are identified reconstructing only the signal B in the event. The misreconstructed γ background is 68 suppressed with an energy selection, and with a veto on γ from π^0 and η decays. The $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ 69 background is suppressed with an MVA. The misreconstructed K^* background is suppressed using 70 the K^{*} helicity angle distribution. A fit to $\Delta E = E_B^* - E_{beam}^*$ (where E_B is the energy of the 71 B meson) is used to extract the signal, excluding higher-mass K^* resonances. The results are 72 $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^+\pi^-)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^+\pi^-)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}(K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma) = (4.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}$ 73 $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^+\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K_S^0\pi^+)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}(K^0\pi^0)\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}, \\ \mathcal{B}$ 74 where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic, compatible with the world 75 averages [8]. 76 This measurement is performed as the cleanest exclusive channel in $B \to X_s \gamma$ sector, and is 77

a first step toward asymmetry measurements of radiative decays. In the latters several systematic 78 uncertanties cancel out, and projections based on Belle result [9] shows that a precision below the 79 percent level can be reached with few ab^{-1} [6]. 80

Search for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ decays 4 81

The search of $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ decay is a unique opportunity for Belle II. This decays has never 82 been observed before the amplitude [10] can receive sizeable contribution from BSM amplitudes. 83 The measurement is performed on a sample with an integrated luminosity of 63 fb⁻¹ [11]. The 84 reconstruction is performed with an inclusive tagging approach, reconstructing the B_{sig} using the 85 highest p_T track compatible with a K^+ , and assigning the rest of the event to the B_{tag} . The 86 procedure is validated on $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu\mu)K^+$ decays. Two BDT in cascade are used to suppress 87 the background exploiting the event shape, kinematics and vertex features. 88 No signal is observed and the result is shown in Fig. 1 (Right) in term of upper limit. This corre-89

spond to $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) = (1.9 \pm 1.3 \,(\text{stat})^{+0.08}_{-0.07} \,(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-5}$, compatible with the SM prediction 90 and the previous results [8]. 91

The projection with larger samples [6] shows that a 5σ observation can be achieved with an 92 integrated luminosity of 5 ab^{-1} with an expected 50% efficiency improvement coming from the 93 use of exclusive tagging approaches in combination with the inclusive one. Moreover, additional 94 channels (K^*, K_S^0) will be investigated. 95

5 Measurement of $R_K(J/\psi)$ 96

We present the measurements of the branching fraction of $B \rightarrow J\psi(\rightarrow \ell \ell)K$, $\ell = e, \mu$ and 97 $K = K^+, K_S^0$, performed on a 189 fb⁻¹ Belle II sample [12]. The ratios $R_K(J/\psi) = \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \omega)$ 98 $J\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)K)/\mathcal{B}(B\rightarrow J\psi(\rightarrow e^+e^-)K)$ are also measured. These channels have no sensitivity on BSM, so $R_K(J/\psi) \approx 1$ is expected. This analysis is used to validate the measurement of 100 $B \rightarrow K^* \ell \ell$. The yields are extracted from a fit to $(\Delta E, M_{bc})$ distribution. The results are 101 $R_{K^+}(J/\psi) = 1.009 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.008, R_{K_c^0}(J/\psi) = 1.042 \pm 0.042 \pm .008$, where the first uncertainty 102

is statistical and the second systematic, in agreement with the expectations.



Figure 1. Left: partial branching fraction $(1/\Gamma_B)(d\Gamma_i/dE_\gamma)$ as a function of E_γ ; the outer (inner) uncertainty bar shows the total (statistical) uncertainty. Right: CL_s as a function of $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu})$ for the expected and observed signal yields, together with the corresponding 90% confidence level upper limits. The expected limits are evaluated with background-only hypothesis.

¹⁰⁴ 6 Measurement of $B \to K^* \ell \ell$ branching fractions

We present the measurement of the branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-)$ (where $\ell = e, \mu$ and $K^* = K^{*+}(892), K^{*0}(892)$) performed on a sample with an integrated luminosity of 189 fb⁻¹ [13]. The backgrounds are suppressed using a BDT combined with a veto on the dilepton invariant mass for the $J/\psi, \psi(2S) \to \ell \ell$ background. An extended maximum likelihood fit is performed to the $(\Delta E, M_{bc})$ distribution. The results are $\mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.19 \pm 0.31^{+0.08}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-6}, \mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-) = (1.25 \pm 0.30^{+0.07}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-6}, \mathcal{B}(B \to 110 K^* e^+ e^-) = (1.42 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-6}, \mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-) = (1.25 \pm 0.30^{+0.07}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-6}$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic, compatible with the world average [8]. These results prepare the ground for the measurement of $R_{K^{(*)}} = \mathcal{B}(B \to \mu^+ \mu^- K^{(*)})/\mathcal{B}(B \to 10^{-6})$

 $e^+e^-K^{(*)}$, which will require a larger sample.

¹¹⁴ 7 $B \rightarrow K^* \tau \tau$ perspectives

The measurement of $B \to K^* \tau \tau$ is complementary to the previously discussed searches, investigating the new physics in the third generation. The SM branching ratio $O(10^{-7})$, but BSM amplitudes can enhance the signal of several order of magnitude [14]. Currently the decay has been never observed and an upper limit at $O(10^{-3})$ has been set [15]. Prospects extrapolating from the current upper limit with a larger samples shows that Belle II can investigate the branching ratios down to 10^{-4} with 5 ab⁻¹, using hadronic and semileptonic B-tagging and reconstructing the τ leptons both in leptonic and hadronic decays [6].

¹²² 8 Perspectives of Lepton Flavor Violation searches in $B \to K^{(*)}\ell\ell'$ sector

Several measurement have been performed in past years by BaBar, LHCb and Belle collaborations in the $B \to K^{(*)}\ell\ell'$ sector, where $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$, setting upper limits that span from 10^{-5} to 10^{-9} level. Belle II is planning to join the effort in the searches of new physics in this sector. Focusing on $B \to K^{(*)}\tau\ell$, the use of the hadronic or semileptonic tag allow to avoid the explicit reconstruction of the τ lepton. The signal is extracted from the τ recoil mass distribution, obtained from the B_{tag} and the signal *K* track information. The recent results performed on Belle sample using the FEI are very promising [16].

130 Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the ERC grant agreement No 819127.

133 References

- [1] BELLE II collaboration, *Technical Design Report*, 1011.0352.
- [2] K. Aka, K. Furukawa and H. Koiso, *SuperKEKB collider*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* 907 (2018) 188.
- [3] T. Keck et al., *The Full Event Interpretation*, *Comput. Sofw. Big Sci.* **3** (2019) 6.
- [4] BELLE II collaboration, Measurement of the photon-energy spectrum in inclusive $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ decays identified using hadronic decays of the recoil B meson in 2019-2021 Belle II data, 2210.10220.
- [5] BELLE COLLABORATION collaboration, *Measurement of the* $\overline{B} \to X_s \gamma$ *branching fraction with a sum* of exclusive decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 052004.
- [6] BELLE II collaboration, Snowmass White Paper: Belle II physics reach and plans for the next decade
 and beyond, 2207.06307.
- [7] BELLE II collaboration, *Measurements of the branching fractions for* $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ *decays at Belle II*, 2110.08219.
- [8] R. L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [9] BELLE collaboration, Evidence for Isospin Violation and Measurement of CP Asymmetries in B $\rightarrow K^*(892)\gamma$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) 191802.
- [10] T. Blake, G. Lanfranchi and D.M. Straub, *Rare B decays as tests of the Standard Model*, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* 92 (2017) 50.
- [11] BELLE II collaboration, Search for $B^+ \to K^+ v \overline{v}$ Decays Using an Inclusive Tagging Method at Belle II, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127** (2021) 181802.
- [12] BELLE II collaboration, Measurements of the branching fraction, isospin asymmetry, and lepton-universality ratio in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ decays at Belle II, 2207.11275.
- [13] BELLE II collaboration, *Measurement of the branching fraction for the decay* $B \to K^*(892)\ell^+\ell^-$ *at* Belle II, 2206.05946.
- ¹⁵⁶ [14] B. Capdevila, A. Crivellin, S. Descotes-Genon, L. Hofer and J. Matias, *Searching for New Physics* ¹⁵⁷ with $b \rightarrow s\tau^+\tau^-$ Processes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) 181802.
- [15] Belle collaboration, Search for the decay $B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\tau^-$ at the Belle experiment, 2110.03871.
- [16] BELLE collaboration, Search for the lepton flavour violating decays $B^+ \to K^+ \tau^{\pm} \ell^{\mp}$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) at Belle, 2212.04128.