

Kaon and Pion Identification Performances in Phase III data for TOP detector

S. Sandilya^{*} and A. Schwartz[†]

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

Abstract

We study the performances of the charged kaon and pion identification based on 2.62 fb⁻¹ Phase III data from the physics runs (Experiment#7 and 8) during summer 2019 and compare with Phase III Monte Carlo events. The efficiency and the mis-ID rates of kaon and pion identification are calculated using $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$ decays for the TOP only binary PID criteria. The study is performed in several momentum, polar angle bins and TOP slot bins.

^{*}Electronic address: saurabhsandilya@gmail.com

[†]Electronic address: alan.j.schwartz@uc.edu

1. DEFINITIONS

Information from each PID system is analysed independently to determine a likelihood for each charged particle hypothesis. These likelihoods may then be used to construct a combined likelihood ratio. Here in the plots presented, we study the TOP detector specific binary likelihood ratio defined as :

$$\mathcal{R}_{K/\pi} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_K}{\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_\pi} \tag{1}$$

We report the PID performance of the charged kaon and pion separation using $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$ decays (charge conjugated mode is always included). Slow pions can be used to tag D^0 , which is finally used to identify the kaons and pions. So, with this information K/π PID efficiency and mis-ID rate can be studied in data.

The kaon identification efficiency ϵ_K (ϵ_{π}) is defined as:

$$\epsilon_K(\epsilon_\pi) = \frac{\text{number of kaon (pion) tracks identified as kaon (pion)}}{\text{number of kaon (pion) tracks}}$$
(2)

while the pion mis-identification rate (mis-ID rate) is defined as:

$$\pi \text{ mis-ID rate} = \frac{\text{number of pion tracks identified as kaon}}{\text{number of pion tracks}}$$
(3)

2. DATA-SET

In this study, we use the data set (2.64 fb⁻¹) of proc9 re-processing of exp 7 runs [909 - 4120] and exp 8 runs [43 - 1022] and runs [1036 - 1554]. The results are also compared with the official MC (12th campaign) generic sample (mixed, charged, uubar, ddbar, ssbar, ccbar and taupair) which are generated using early (single-layered PXD) Phase III geometry with nominal machine background. We call this MC sample as 'ideal MC' as this simulation does not incorporate random triggered background events (to account for realistic machine background) as well as actual detector conditions during data taking.




FIG. 1: $\mathcal{R}_{K/\pi}$ distribution from TOP only information. K and π tracks are tagged from the charge of the slow π (daughter of D^{*+}) in the decay $D^{*+} \to D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$.




FIG. 2: TOP only K-efficiencies and π -mis-ID rates are calculated for different PID criteria using the decay $D^{*+} \to D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$.




FIG. 3: TOP only K-efficiencies vs. π -mis-ID rates for different PID criteria using the decay $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$.

5. TOP ONLY K-EFFICIENCY AND π -MIS-ID RATE IN MOMENTUM/POLAR ANGLE BINS




FIG. 4: Kaon efficiency and pion mis-ID rate for the TOP only PID criterion $\mathcal{R}_{K/\pi} > 0.5$ using the decay $D^{*+} \to D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$ in the bins of laboratory frame momentum of the tracks.




FIG. 5: Kaon efficiency and pion mis-ID rate for the TOP only PID criterion $\mathcal{R}_{K/\pi} > 0.5$ using the decay $D^{*+} \to D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$ in the bins of polar angle (laboratory frame) of the tracks.




FIG. 6: Kaon efficiency and pion mis-ID rate for the TOP only PID criterion $\mathcal{R}_{K/\pi} > 0.5$ using the decay $D^{*+} \to D^0[K^-\pi^+]\pi^+$ in the 16 TOP slots (arXiv:1011.0352).