

1 Measurements of the ratio of partial widths:

$$2 \quad \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0) / \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+ \gamma)$$

3 Latika Aggarwal^{1*}, Sunil Bansal¹, and Vishal Bhardwaj²

4 ¹Department of Applied Sciences, UIET, Panjab University

5 ²Department of Physics, IISER Mohali

6 *Address correspondence to: latikaphy@pu.ac.in

7 July 22, 2023

8 **Abstract**

9 The decay of any higher $c\bar{s}$ meson to $D_s^+ \pi^0$ violates isospin conservation,
10 thus small partial width. Some theoretical models suggest $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0$ pro-
11 ceed via $\pi^0 - \eta$ mixing to conserve isospin but including such consideration also,
12 the radiative decay $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+ \gamma$ is still expected to dominate. The Belle II de-
13 tector provides an opportunity to improve previous measurements with higher
14 data statistics and improved detector performance. In this presentation, we
15 present the feasibility to study the measurement of the ratio of partial widths
16 $\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0) / \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+ \gamma)$

1 Introduction

The excited strange charmed meson, $D_s^{*+}(c\bar{s})$, decays dominantly through its radiative decay process $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma$ and kinematically through $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0$ decay mode which violates isospin symmetry. Many theoretical models predicted the decay width of $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma$ and $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0$, but precise experimental measurements of these decay widths are very important to explore QCD and constraint the parameters of theoretical models. Previously, branching fraction of $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0$ with respect to $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma$ have been measured by CLEO [1], BABAR [2] and BESIII [3] experiments. Belle II detector [4], situated at KEK laboratories, Japan is a hybrid detector designed for the SuperKEKB [5] accelerator to perform precision measurements and to look for new physics. Good vertex resolution, improved $K\pi$ separation, better performance with neutral particles and higher statistics in Belle II provides us an opportunity to precisely measure these branching fractions and improve the existing results.

2 Results with Monte Carlo samples

For this study, we use two decay modes of D_s^+ : $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ and $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^{*0}K^+$. $\mathcal{B}(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0)/\mathcal{B}(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma)$ is calculated as

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma)} = \frac{N(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0)}{N(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma)} \times \frac{\epsilon(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma)}{\epsilon(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0)}$$

Signal yields, for $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0$ and $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma$, are extracted by simultaneous fitting of ΔM distributions for two decay modes D_s^+ as shown in Figure 1. Signal selection efficiencies are calculated using signal events. From simulations,

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0)}{\mathcal{B}(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma)} = 0.063 \pm 0.003$$

Results obtained from the simultaneous fitting of simulations are consistent with the expectation from Monte Carlo and there is about a 40% reduction in statistical uncertainty as compared with previous measurements. This feasibility study gives confidence in the Belle II simulations and reconstruction methodology. Stay tuned for the measurement of the partial width ratio with Belle II data.




Figure 1: Simultaneous fitting of ΔM distributions. Upper plots shows ΔM distributions for (a) $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+(\phi\pi^+)\pi^0$ and (b) $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+(K^+K^{*0})\pi^0$ decay channels. Lower plots shows ΔM distributions for (c) $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+(\phi\pi^+)\gamma$ and (d) $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+(K^+K^{*0})\gamma$ decay channels

References

1. Gronberg et al. (CLEO Collaboration) J. Observation of the Isospin-Violating Decay $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0$. Phys. Rev. Lett. 18 1995;75:3232–6.
2. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration) B. Measurement of the branching ratios $\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma)$ and $\Gamma(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\gamma)$. Phys. Rev. D 9 2005;72:091101.
3. ABLIKIM et al.(BESIII Collaboration) M. Measurement of branching fraction of $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^0$ relative to $D_s^{*+} \rightarrow D_s^+\gamma$. Phys. Rev. D 2023;107.
4. Abe T et al. Belle II Technical Design Report. 2010.
5. Akai K, Furukawa K, and Koiso H. SuperKEKB Collider. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 2018;907:188–99.