





The Belle II PID Detectors

Marko Starič

J. Stefan Institute Ljubljana



Belle II Detector



EM Calorimeter:
CsI(Tl), waveform sampling (barrel)
Pure CsI + waveform sampling (end-caps)

KL and muon detector:
Resistive Plate Counter (barrel outer layers)
Scintillator + WLSF + MPPC (end-caps ,
inner 2 barrel layers)

→ Talk by Timofey Uglov

electrons (7GeV)

Particle Identification
Time-of-Propagation counter (barrel)
Prox. focusing Aerogel RICH (fwd)

Beryllium beam pipe
2cm diameter

Vertex Detector
2 layers DEPFET + 4 layers DSSD

→ Talks by Florian Luetzke
and Eugenio Paolini


Central Drift Chamber
He(50%):C₂H₆(50%), small cells, long
lever arm, fast electronics

positrons (4GeV)



→ talk by Claudia Cecchi

Particle Identification Devices


Barrel PID: Time of Propagation Counter (TOP)



Endcap PID: Aerogel RICH (ARICH)



Belle upgrade – side view



Two new particle ID devices, both RICHes:


Barrel: Time-of-propagation counter (TOP) counter

Endcap: proximity focusing RICH

Barrel PID: Time of propagation (TOP) counter

Cherenkov ring imaging with **precise time measurement**.

Device uses internal reflection of Cherenkov ring images from quartz like the BaBar DIRC



Example of Cherenkov-photon paths for 2 GeV/c π^\pm and K^\pm .

Reconstruct Cherenkov angle from two hit coordinates and the time of propagation of the photon


Quartz radiator (2cm)

Photon detector (MCP-PMT)

Excellent time resolution ~ 40 ps


Single photon sensitivity in 1.5 T

Fast read-out electronics




TOP 'ring' image

$p = 2 \text{ GeV}/c$




Pattern in the coordinate-time space ('ring') of pions and kaons hitting a quartz bar with 64 PMT channels



Time distribution of signals recorded by one of the PMT channels: different for π and K (\sim shifted in time)

Quartz bar



32 quartz bars are needed for the full Belle-II detector, $20 \times 450 \times 1250 \text{ mm}^3$, two per module, plus mirror and wedge.


The quartz needs to be of high quality to ensure that photon losses are minimised, and that the Cherenkov photon reflection angles are maintained.

| Quartz Property | Requirement |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Flatness | $< 6.3 \mu\text{m}$ |
| Perpendicularity | $< 20 \text{ arcsec}$ |
| Parallelism | $< 4 \text{ arcsec}$ |
| Roughness | $< 0.5 \text{ nm (RMS)}$ |
| Bulk transmittance | $> 98\%/m$ |
| Surface reflectance | $> 99.9\%/reflection$ |


Photon detector: SL10 MCP PMT




square shape



cross-sectional view




MCP




- MCP-PMT has an active area of $\sim 23 \times 23 \text{mm}^2$
- Photocathode: NaKSbCs
- Readout via 4×4 channels – 512 total channels per TOP module.
- PMTs required to have a peak quantum efficiency of $> 24\%$, and a collection efficiency of $\sim 55\%$.
- Intrinsic transit time spread: $\sim 40 \text{ps}$.


Read-out electronics



Currently-tested version of the ASIC: **IRS3B**



FPGA (SPARTAN-6) for operating readout.



Based on a waveform-sampling ASIC



4×10^9 samples / sec. Chip intrinsic time resolution of <25 psec.

Calibration of the time and the charge requires a significant learning curve.

G. Varner, "Experience with the first generation deep sampling ASICs IRS and BLAB3", Workshop on Timing Detectors: Electronics, Medical and Part. Phys. Appl., Cracow, 2010.

G. Varner, "Deeper Sampling CMOS Transient Waveform Recording ASICs", TIPP 2011


Read-out electronics – backup for TOP performance tests



- Based on constant fraction discriminator (CFD).
- MCP-PMT 16 channels are merged into 4 at the MCP-PMT socket.
- Time resolution ~ 50 psec.
- Calibration relatively simpler. Can be used for TOP performance tests

→K. Inami, RICH 2010.

Beam test at SPRING8




Secondary positron beam, $\sim 2.1 \text{ GeV}$


TOP prototype mounted in the LEPS spectrometer.

Beam Test Event

- Single events have a mean of ~ 30 Cherenkov photons detected.
 - Each waveform yields a hit time.
 - Multiple events are required in order to see a ring image.





Beamtest Experiment 2 Run 568 Event 1



Beam Test Event


- Single events have a mean of ~ 30 Cherenkov photons detected.
 - Each waveform yields a hit time.
 - Multiple events are required in order to see a ring image.
- Greyscale image shows expected distribution from simulation.




ID of the particle: from a 2D likelihood function

TOP image

Pattern in the coordinate-time space ('ring') – different for kaons and pions.
Excellent agreement between beam test data and MC simulated patterns.



Preliminary, calibration and alignment still under way.





Recorded by the baseline IRS3B waveform sampling read-out.

TOP image

Pattern in the coordinate-time space ('ring') – different for kaons and pions.


Excellent agreement between beam test data and MC simulated patterns.



Recorded by the CFD-based read-out.



Belle II PID system




Two new particle ID devices, both RICHes:

Barrel: Time-of-propagation counter (TOP) counter

Endcap: proximity focusing RICH

Endcap: Proximity focusing RICH

K/ π separation at 4 GeV/c:
 $\theta_c(\pi) \sim 308$ mrad ($n = 1.05$)
 $\theta_c(\pi) - \theta_c(K) \sim 23$ mrad



For single photons: $\delta\theta_c(\text{meas.}) = \sigma_0 \sim 14$ mrad,
 typical value for a 20mm thick radiator and
 6mm PMT pad size

Per track:

$$\sigma_{\text{track}} = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{N_{pe}}}$$

Separation: $[\theta_c(\pi) - \theta_c(K)] / \sigma_{\text{track}}$


$\rightarrow 5\sigma$ separation with $N_{pe} \sim 10$

Radiator with multiple refractive indices

How to increase the number of photons without degrading the resolution?

normal

→ stack two tiles with different refractive indices:
“focusing” configuration






→ focusing




Such a configuration is only possible with aerogel (a form of Si_xO_y)
– material with a tunable refractive index between 1.01 and 1.13.

Focusing configuration – data

4cm aerogel single index



2+2cm aerogel





Aerogel RICH photon detectors

Need:


Operation in 1.5 T magnetic field

Pad size $\sim 5\text{-}6\text{mm}$

Baseline option: large active area HAPD
of the proximity focusing type



Cherenkov angle distribution







6.6 σ p/K at 4GeV/c!

\rightarrow NIM A595 (2008) 180

ARICH photon detector: HAPD

Hybrid avalanche photo-detector developed in cooperation with Hamamatsu (proximity focusing configuration):

- 12x12 channels ($\sim 5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$)
- size $\sim 72 \text{ mm} \times 72 \text{ mm}$
- $\sim 65\%$ effective area
- total gain $\sim 10^4 - 10^5$
(bombardment ~ 1500 , avalanche ~ 40)
- detector capacitance $\sim 80 \text{ pF/ch.}$
- typical peak QE $\sim 30\%$
- works in mag. field (\sim perpendicular to the entrance window)






ARICH photon detector: HAPD

Tests in 1.5 T magnetic field show improved performance:

- no photoelectron back-scattering cross-talk
- Effect of non-uniformity of electric field disappears

QE improved by Hamamatsu with super bialkali photocathode:
25% → 32% peak





Neutron irradiation

Reactor "Yayoi" @ Tokyo U.




- Expected total fluence 10^{12} n/cm²
- First test S/N drops to 7 @ 5×10^{11} n/cm²



→ Expected S/N~5 @ fluence 10^{12} n/cm², marginal operation


- Re-optimization of peaking time for larger leakage currents → shorter peaking time with next ASIC version
- Optimization of APD structure




Neutron damage

Modification of APD structure:

- Thinner p⁺ layer to increase bombardment gain
- Thinner p layer to reduce increase of the leakage current after irradiation – main source of leakage current are thermally generated electrons in p layer due to the lattice defects produced by neutrons




APD Δ leakage current (@ $10^{12}n/cm^2$)



As expected the increase of the leakage current is smaller with thin p


S/N for thin p sample is better than 7 after fluence $10^{12}n/cm^2$




Summary

- Belle II PID systems are challenging new devices, with very interesting novel features
- Most technical problems have been solved
- Finalize the design, get ready for the production with an aggressive time schedule


Back-up slides



Photon detection time vs channel number (zoom)



Belle II Detector (in comparison with Belle)




SVD: 4 DSSD lyrs → 2 DEPFET lyrs + 4 DSSD lyrs
 CDC: small cell, long lever arm
 ACC+TOF → TOP+A-RICH
 ECL: waveform sampling (+pure CsI for endcaps)
 KLM: RPC → Scintillator +MPPC (endcaps, barrel inner 2 lyrs)

In colour: new or upgraded components

Gamma irradiation

- Expected total dose 100-1000 Gy
- Initial tests indicated a fast raise of leakage current - not previously observed with similar APDs.
- Source (found in irradiation tests of several sample types prepared by Hamamatsu): APD for HAPD has additional alkali protection layer to protect APD during photocathode activation process → charging up
- APD structure optimized


Standard alkali protection
No alkali protection
Optimized new alkali protection






KEKB to SuperKEKB





Colliding bunches



New superconducting / permanent final focusing quads near the IP




Replace short dipoles with longer ones (LER)



Redesign the lattices of HER & LER to squeeze the emittance

TiN-coated beam pipe with antechambers



To obtain x40 higher luminosity


Critical issues at $L = 8 \times 10^{35} / \text{cm}^2 / \text{sec}$

- ▶ **Higher background ($\times 10\text{-}20$)**
 - radiation damage and occupancy
 - fake hits and pile-up noise in the EM
- ▶ **Higher event rate ($\times 10$)**
 - higher rate trigger, DAQ and computing
- ▶ **Require special features**
 - low $p \mu$ identification $\leftarrow s \mu \mu$ recon. eff.
 - hermeticity $\leftarrow \nu$ "reconstruction"

Have to employ and develop new technologies to make such an apparatus work!



TDR published arXiv:1011.0352v1 [physics.ins-det]



IRS ASIC-based Readout Overview

